**NaBITA THREAT ASSESSMENT TOOL**

**HARM TO SELF**

**MENTAL & BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, “THE D-SCALE”**

**DISTURBANCE**

- Acutely suicidal (thoughts, feelings, expressed intentions and ideations)
- Para-suicidal (extreme self-injurious behavior, eating disorder, personality disorder) at life-threatening levels
- Engaging in risk-taking behaviors (e.g. substance abuse)
- Hostile, aggressive, relationally abusive
- Deficient in skills that regulate emotion, cognition, self, behavior and relationships
- Profoundly disturbed, detached view of reality
- Unable to care for themselves (poor self-care/ protection/judgment)
- At risk of grievous injury or death without intent to self-harm
- Often seen in psychotic breaks

**DYSREGULATION/DECOMPENSAION**

- Disturbed or advancing to dysregulation
- Threat made or present
- Threat is vague, but direct, or specific but indirect
- Likely to be repeated or shared with multiple reporters

**OVERALL & GENERALIZED RISK RUBRIC**

- Dysregulated (way off baseline) or medically disabled
- Threat made or present
- Threat is concrete (specific or direct)
- Likely to be repeated or shared with multiple reporters

- Information about threat or threat itself is consistent, plausible or includes specific detail of a plan (time, place, etc.), often with steps already taken
- Threat may be repeated with consistency
- Content of threat suggests threatener will carry it out (reference to weapons, means, target)

**HARM TO OTHERS**

**NINE LEVELS OF HOSTILITY AND VIOLENCE**

**PLUNGING TOGETHER INTO THE ABYSS**

**Description:** The individual is focused and fixated on destroying the target with no regard for his or her own safety. As long as the opponent is dragged into the abyss as well, even self-destruction is a triumph. This is described as a lose-lose attack where intent of the threatener is to destroy the target and him or herself.

**FRAGMENTATION OF THE ENEMY**

**Description:** The individual is ready to destroy the enemy target. The attack may be physical, material, psychological and/or spiritual. There is a desire to tear down the core of the system so it can no longer be rebuilt. This leaves others desire for self-preservation during the attack. This is described as a win-lose attack where the individual keeps his or her own life and destroys his target.

**LIMITED DESTRUCTIVE BLOWS**

**Description:** Threats are implemented. There is a high level of objectification and depersonalization toward the target. Detach and less are championed and used to cause harm to the other party. Damage, while occurring, is limited. Often seen in the form of the acts of an accomplice, the pulled punch, or in the acts of the criminal mastermind who orchestrates harm to others but is not the direct instrument of its delivery.

**STRAIGHT OF THREAT**

**Description:** The individual begins to make ultimatums and threats that contain a “do this or else” quality. Might be mere saber rattling, but the individual will attempt to force the individual to carry it out.

**LOSS OF FACE**

**Description:** The individual creates an outrage of his target and goes on the offense in order to unmask them in the community as a fraud. In an often public effort at undermining, the target is treated as an incurable human being and past events are viewed with a new, negative perspective.

**IMAGES AND COALITIONS**

**Description:** The individual has an image of the target and strives to entice supporters to the cause, often within the peer, social or work group of the target. The individual only sees what confirms existing beliefs that reinforce a vilified target who now bears accusations hurled against him or her. Physical confrontation and conflict enter the mix, no longer just verbal in arguments.

**ACTIONS NOT WORDS**

**Description:** There is a fundamental lack of trust and increased suspicion of others. The individual assumes negative intentions from others and debate is deadlocked. There is movement toward non-verbal behaviors to express frustration rather than engaging in conversation.

**DEBATE AND CONTENTIOUS ARGUMENTS**

**Description:** The individual further filters out any conflicting information about the target and goes on the offense in order to unmask their community as a fraud. In an often public effort at undermining, the target is treated as an incurable human being and past events are viewed with a new, negative perspective.

**HARDENING**

**Description:** The individual begins to selectively attend to his or her environment. Filtering out material or information that doesn’t line up with his or her beliefs. Stances begin to harden and crystallize. There is some oscillation between cooperative and competitive.
### Intervention Tools to Address Risk as Classified

#### Extreme Risk
- Possible confrontation by reporter
- Parental/guardian notification obligatory unless contraindicated
- Evaluate emergency notification to others
- No behavioral contracts
- Interim suspension or paid/unpaid leave if applicable
- Possible liaison with local police to compare red flags
- Too serious for mandated assessment
- Evaluate for medical/psychological transport
- Evaluate for custodial hold
- Initiate voluntary/involuntary medical withdrawal
- Law enforcement response
- Consider eligibility for involuntary commitment
- SIVRA-35 or other violence risk assessment
- Parental/guardian notification obligatory unless contraindicated
- Evaluate emergency notification to others
- No behavioral contracts
- Interim suspension or paid/unpaid leave if applicable
- Possible liaison with local police to compare red flags
- Too serious for mandated assessment
- Evaluate for medical/psychological transport
- Evaluate for custodial hold
- Initiate voluntary/involuntary medical withdrawal
- Law enforcement response
- Consider eligibility for involuntary commitment
- SIVRA-35 or other violence risk assessment

#### Severe Risk
- Possible confrontation by reporter
- Parental/guardian notification obligatory unless contraindicated
- Evaluate emergency notification to others
- (FERPA/HIPAA/Clery)
- No behavioral contracts
- Recommend interim suspension or paid/unpaid leave
- Possible liaison with local police to compare red flags
- Deploy mandated assessment
- Evaluate for medical/psychological transport
- Evaluate for custodial hold
- Consider voluntary/involuntary medical withdrawal
- Direct threat eligible
- Law enforcement response
- Consider eligibility for involuntary commitment
- SIVRA-35 or other violence risk assessment

#### Elevated Risk
- Meeting/mandated referral by reporter
- Evaluate parental/guardian notification
- Obtain and assess medical/educational and other records
- Consider interim suspension if applicable
- Evaluate for disability services and/or medical referral
- Consider referral or mandated assessment
- SIVRA-35 or other violence risk assessment
- Meeting/soft referral by reporter
- Behavioral contract or treatment plan with student
- Student conduct or HR response
- Evaluate for disability services and/or medical referral
- Conflict management, mediation, (not if physical/violent), problem-solving

#### Moderate Risk
- Meeting/soft referral by reporter
- Behavioral contract or treatment plan with student or employee
- Student conduct or HR response
- Evaluate for disability services and/or medical referral
- Conflict management, mediation, problem-solving

#### Mild Risk
- Meeting/soft referral by reporter
- Behavioral contract or treatment plan with student or employee
- Student conduct or HR response
- Evaluate for disability services and/or medical referral
- Conflict management, mediation, problem-solving