## **Clarion University BizPitch Competition Rubric 2018**

Group Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Judges Name:\_

	Score	1 – 2 (Below expectations)	3 - Meets Minimal Expectations	4 – 5 (Above expectations)
<ul><li>Innovation:</li><li>Is this idea unique or improves upon existing ideas with great potential for impact?</li></ul>			<ul> <li>□ Business/idea is somewhat creative and unique</li> <li>□ Attempts to somewhat fulfill a consumer need not being satisfied</li> </ul>	
Clarity:  Is the information concise, to the point and well organized?			□ Attempts to organize content, <u>but</u> it is somewhat difficult to locate key information quickly □ Uses font style/size or text formatting to highlight key information, <u>but</u> they are not used consistently throughout presentation □ Font/background are somewhat visually appealing	
Comprehensiveness:  • Did the presenter(s) cover all aspects of the idea? Did they demonstrate a good understanding of the market and knowledge of how operation of the business will work?			□ Presentation includes major highlights, but does not fully explain idea □ Includes some irrelevant content	
Feasibility:  Is the proposed venture realistic? Did the presenter(s) demonstrate this thoroughly through numbers and facts?			□ Demonstrates somewhat of an understanding of project requirements □ Prompts some questions about ability to manage and fund the venture □ Somewhat explains numbers and facts, but leaves questions	

**Clarion University BizPitch Competition Rubric 2018** 

<ul> <li>Professionalism:</li> <li>Was the presentation delivered appropriately and were they well prepared?</li> </ul>		□ Appropriate and detailed language was used □ The presentation seemed to be rehearsed, but there were still errors □ The presenter(s) appropriately dressed	
<ul><li>"WOW" Factor:</li><li>Was the presentation memorable and unique?</li></ul>		☐ Overall, the presentation was well organized ☐ The presentation was solid, but not outstanding	
Answering Questions:  • Was the presenter(s) able to answer the questions sufficiently?		☐ The presenter(s) were able to provide a sufficient answer to the questions asked, but they were still vague	
Total Score:	Comments:		