2012 NATIONAL RECOGNITION REPORT Initial Preparation of Science Teachers (2012 Standards)

National recognition of this program is dependent on the review of the program by representatives of the National Science Teachers Association.

| VER PAGE | |
|--|-----|
| Name of Institution | |
| Clarion University, PA | |
| Date of Review | |
| MM DD YYYY | |
| 08 / 01 / 2018 | |
| This report is in response to a(n): | |
| Initial Review | |
| Revised Report | |
| Response to Conditions Report | |
| Program Covered by this Review | |
| Secondary Science | |
| Grade Level ⁽¹⁾ | |
| 7-12 | |
| | |
| (1) e.g. Early Childhood; Elementary K-6 | |
| Program Type (First Teaching License or Unspec) fied) | |
| First Teaching License | |
| Title for State License for which candidates are prepared, including science areas licensed to teach | (2) |
| Secondary Biology, Secondary Chemistry, Secondary Earth and Space | |
| Science, Secondary Physics, Secondary General Science | |
| | |
| (2) i.e., Single Field -Biology; Dual Field Biology and Chemistry; Broad Field, Integrated Science, etc. | |
| Award or Degree Level | |
| Baccalaureate | |

Post Baccalaureate

Master's

PART A - RECOGNITION DECISION

| SPA decision on national recognition of the program(s): |
|---|
| Nationally recognized |
| Nationally recognized with conditions |
| Further development required OR Nationally recognized with probation OR Not nationally recognized [See Part G] |
| Test Results (from information supplied in Assessment #1, if applicable) The program meets or exceeds SPA benchmarked licensure test data requirement, if applicable: |
| Yes |
| O No |
| Not applicable |
| Not able to determine |
| Comments, if necessary, concerning Test Results: |
| |
| Summary of Strengths: |
| Program leaders are commended on effective, ongoing refinement of the |

assessments aligned with NSTA standards, other reform-based standards.

PART B - STATUS OF MEETING SPA STANDARDS

NSTA Standard 1

Effective teachers of science understand and articulate the knowledge and practices of contemporary science. They interrelate and interpret important concepts, ideas, and applications in their fields of licensure.

Preservice teachers will:

- 1a) Understand the major concepts, principles, theories, laws, and interrelationships of their fields of licensure and supporting fields as recommended by the National Science Teachers Association.
- 1b) Understand the central concepts of the supporting disciplines and the supporting role of science-specific technology.
- 1c) Show an understanding of state and national curriculum standards and their impact on the content knowledge necessary for teaching P-12 students.

Met with Conditions Not Met Met Comment: Previously met.

NSTA Standard 2

Effective teachers of science understand how students learn and develop scientific knowledge. Preservice teachers use scientific inquiry to develop this knowledge for all students.

Preservice teachers will:

- 2a) Plan multiple lessons using a variety of inquiry approaches that demonstrate their knowledge and understanding of how all students learn science.
- 2b) Include active inquiry lessons where students collect and interpret data in order to develop and communicate concepts and understand scientific processes, relationships and natural patterns from empirical experiences. Applications of science-specific technology are included in the lessons when appropriate.
- 2c) Design instruction and assessment strategies that confront and address naïve concepts/preconceptions.

Met Met with Conditions Not Met (Comment:

Assessment 3, Unit Plan, provides evidence that candidates can plan multiple lessons using a variety of inquiry approaches that demonstrate their understanding of how students learn science (2a); include active inquiry lessons where students collect and interpret data to communicate concepts with tech.-tools as appropriate (2b); and design instruction and assessment to address naive conceptions/preconceptions (2c).

Effective teachers of science are able to plan for engaging all students in science learning by setting appropriate goals that are consistent with knowledge of how students learn science and are aligned with state and national standards. The plans reflect the nature and social context of science, inquiry, and appropriate safety considerations. Candidates design and select learning activities, instructional settings, and resources--including science-specific technology, to achieve those goals; and they plan fair and equitable assessment strategies to evaluate if the learning goals are met.

Preservice teachers will design a Unit of Study that:

- 3a) Use a variety of strategies that demonstrate the candidates' knowledge and understanding of how to select the appropriate teaching and learning activities - including laboratory or field settings and applicable instruments and/or technology- to allow access so that all students learn. These strategies are inclusive and motivating for all students.
- 3b) Develop lesson plans that include active inquiry lessons where students collect and interpret data using applicable science-specific technology in order to develop concepts, understand scientific processes, relationships and natural patterns from empirical experiences. These plans provide for equitable achievement of science literacy for all students.
- 3c) Plan fair and equitable assessment strategies to analyze student learning and to evaluate if the learning goals are met. Assessment strategies are designed to continuously evaluate preconceptions and ideas that students hold

and the understandings that students have formulated.

3d) Plan a learning environment and learning experiences for all students that demonstrate chemical safety, safety procedures, and the ethical treatment of living organisms within their licensure area.

Met Met with Conditions Not Met

Comment:

Assessment 3 and 4 provide evidence that candidates can implement a variety of teaching strategies that are inclusive and motivating (3a) and that illustrate active inquiry strategies to help students understand scientific processes and develop their science literacy (3b). Assessment 3 also demonstrates that candidates implement fair and equitable assessment strategies (3c) and that the learning environment and experiences are safe and appropriate for their licensure area (3d).

NSTA Standard 4

Effective teachers of science can, in a P-12 classroom setting, demonstrate and maintain chemical safety, safety procedures, and the ethical treatment of living organisms needed in the P-12 science classroom appropriate to their area of licensure.

Preservice teachers will:

- 4a) Design activities in a P-12 classroom that demonstrate the safe and proper techniques for the preparation, storage, dispensing, supervision, and disposal of all materials used within their subject area science instruction.
- 4b) Design and demonstrate activities in a P-12 classroom that demonstrate an ability to implement emergency procedures and the maintenance of safety equipment, policies and procedures that comply with established state and/or national guidelines. Candidates ensure safe science activities appropriate for the abilities of all students.
- 4c) Design and demonstrate activities in a P-12 classroom that demonstrate ethical decision-making with respect to the treatment of all living organisms in and out of the classroom. They emphasize safe, humane, and ethical treatment of animals and comply with the legal restrictions on the collection, keeping, and use of living organisms.

Met Met with Conditions Not Met

Comment:

Assessment 6, Science Safety Observation Form, provides evidence that candidates demonstrate proper chemical and materials safety (4a), and use of safety procedures (4b). Ethical treatment of living organisms (4c) in P-12 classroom settings is included in the Assessment 6 Scoring Guide but data not provided from the one application of this assessment. Standard 4 data meets preponderance of evidence requirement.

NSTA Standard 5

Effective teachers of science provide evidence to show that P-12 students' understanding of major science concepts, principles, theories, and laws have changed as a result of instruction by the candidate and that student knowledge is at a level of understanding beyond memorization. Candidates provide evidence for the diversity of students they teach.

Preservice teachers will:

- 5a) Collect, organize, analyze, and reflect on diagnostic, formative and summative evidence of a change in mental functioning demonstrating that scientific knowledge is gained and/or corrected.
- 5b) Provide data to show that P-12 students are able to distinguish science from nonscience, understand the evolution and practice of science as a human endeavor, and critically analyze assertions made in the name of science.
- 5c) Engage students in developmentally appropriate inquiries that require them to develop concepts and relationships from their observations, data, and inferences in a scientific manner.

Met Met with Conditions

Output

Comment:

Not Met

Output

Description:

Assessment 5, Evidence of P-12 Student Learning, provides evidence that candidates demonstrate the impact of their instruction on P-12 students' scientific knowledge (5a), ability to understand aspects of the nature of scientific practices (5b), and use of scientific inquiries (5c).

NSTA Standard 6

Effective teachers of science strive continuously to improve their knowledge and understanding of the ever changing knowledge base of both content, and science pedagogy, including approaches for addressing inequities and inclusion for all students in science. They identify with and conduct themselves as part of the science education community.

Preservice teachers will:

6a) Engage in professional development opportunities in their content field such as talks, symposiums, research opportunities, or projects within their community.

6b) Engage in professional development opportunities such as conferences, research opportunities, or projects within their community.

Met Met with Conditions Not Met

O

Comment:

The report includes Assessment 7 CPAST as assessment for Standard 6. The alignment of this assessment with InTASC and CAEP 1 Standards is clearly presented. The two elements of Standard 6 are not fully represented in the scoring guide of this assessment, so moving forward, program leaders should add rubric rows that address 6a an 6b in more detail with regard to the language of these standards.

PART C - EVALUATION OF PROGRAM REPORT EVIDENCE

C.1. Candidates' knowledge of content

The program's use of Assessments 1 and 2 provide sufficient evidence that candidates understand the content in their subject area(s).

C.2. Candidates' ability to understand and apply pedagogical and professional content knowledge and skills

Data from Assessments 3 (Unit Plan), 4 (Student Teaching Performance Profile) provide primary evidence of candidate pedagogical knowledge and skills. Assessment 7 evidence is insufficient as evidence that candidates have met the two elements of NSTA Standard 6.

C.3. Candidate effects on P-12 student learning

Evidence was provided that the program's candidates are positively impacting P-12 student learning in all elements of Standard 5.

PART D - EVALUATION OF THE USE OF ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Evidence that assessment results are evaluated and applied to the improvement of candidate performance and strengthening of the program (as discussed in Section V of the program report)

Section V narrative provides clear indication that the program uses diverse sources of data as the basis for making changes in the program.

PART E - AREAS FOR CONSIDERATION

Areas for consideration

Assessment 7 does not provide evidence that candidates engage in professional development both within their content area (6a) and within science pedagogy (6b). Assessment 7 should be revised to provide evidence that candidates engage in professional development both within their content area (6a) and within science pedagogy (6b). Evidence for a minimum of one element must be provided in order for Standard 6 to be met.

PART F - ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

| F.1. Comments on Section I (Context) and other topics not covered in Parts B-E: |
|---|
| |
| F.2. Concerns for possible follow-up by the CAEP site visitors: |
| |


PART G -DECISIONS

Please select final decision:

National Recognition with Conditions. The program has received a decision of conditional national recognition. See below for details.

NATIONAL RECOGNITION WITH CONDITIONS

The program is recognized through:



Subsequent action by the institution: Programs will have a maximum of two opportunities to resubmit a report with revisions to receive National Recognition. A report addressing the conditions must be submitted in accordance with the dates provided on the National Recognition Report. A program should NOT submit its Response to Conditions until it has the required data and is confident that it has addressed all the conditions in Part G of this Recognition Report. If no reports are submitted by the noted date, the program's recognition status will expire and revert to Not Recognized. In case the status expires, the program will not be able to submit a Response to Conditions Report, but may submit a new, complete program report and initiate a new program review if time permits for the current CAEP accreditation cycle. Otherwise, the program may submit a new, complete program report and initiate a new program review for the next CAEP accreditation cycle, three years before the site visit.

If the program is currently Recognized with Conditions and is submitting a second Response to Conditions Report, the next report must be submitted by the date below. Failure to submit a report by the date below will result in loss of national recognition.

The following conditions must be addressed within the time period specified above if the program's recognition with conditions has been continued. See above for specific date.

Assessment 7 does not provide evidence that candidates engage in professional development both within their content area (6a) and within science pedagogy (6b). Assessment 7 should be revised to provide evidence that candidates engage in professional development both within their content area (6a) and within science pedagogy (6b). Evidence for a minimum of one element must be provided in order for Standard 6 to be met.

Please click "Next"

This is the end of the report. Please click "Next" to proceed.